

**GAUSSIAN PROCESSES**  
**EXERCISE SHEET 7: LINEAR STRUCTURE OF GAUSSIANS**

**Exercise 1.**

Since  $C$  is positive definite so is  $C^{-1}$ , and we have  $\langle x, x \rangle_C > 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$ . It is clear that  $\langle x, y \rangle_C$  is also bilinear and thus forms an inner product.

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be an orthonormal basis w.r.t. the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_C$ . We have

$$\mathbb{E}\langle \bar{X}, e_j \rangle_C \langle \bar{X}, e_k \rangle_C = \mathbb{E}\langle \bar{X}, C^{-1}e_j \rangle_C \langle \bar{X}, C^{-1}e_k \rangle_C = \langle C^{-1}e_j, CC^{-1}e_k \rangle_C = \langle e_j, e_k \rangle_C = \delta_{j,k},$$

so  $\bar{X}$  is a standard Gaussian w.r.t. the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_C$ . The linear symmetries of  $\bar{X}$  are then the ones that fix the  $C$ -inner product. If  $A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is linear and such that  $\langle Ax, Ay \rangle_C = \langle x, y \rangle_C$ , then in terms of the standard inner product this means that

$$\langle C^{-1/2}Ax, C^{-1/2}Ay \rangle = \langle Ax, C^{-1}Ay \rangle = \langle x, C^{-1}y \rangle = \langle C^{-1/2}x, C^{-1/2}y \rangle,$$

so we see that  $C^{-1/2}A$  is orthogonal. In other words,  $A = C^{1/2}UC^{-1/2}$  for some orthogonal matrix  $U$ . □

**Exercise 2.**

Let us compute  $\langle \phi_j, \phi_k \rangle = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sin(\pi \frac{\ell j}{n+1}) \sin(\pi \frac{\ell k}{n+1})$ . By using  $\sin(a) \sin(b) = \frac{\cos(a-b) - \cos(a+b)}{2}$  we see that the above equals

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left( \cos(\pi \frac{\ell(j-k)}{n+1}) - \cos(\pi \frac{\ell(j+k)}{n+1}) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \Re \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left( e^{i\pi \frac{\ell(j-k)}{n+1}} - e^{i\pi \frac{\ell(j+k)}{n+1}} \right) \right).$$

Let us compute  $\Re \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^n e^{i\pi \frac{\ell a}{n+1}} \right)$ . If  $a = 0$ , this is just  $n$ . Otherwise it equals

$$\Re \left( \frac{e^{i\pi a} - 1}{e^{i\pi \frac{a}{n+1}} - 1} - 1 \right) = \Re \left( \frac{((-1)^a - 1)(e^{-i\pi \frac{a}{n+1}} - 1)}{2 - 2 \cos(\pi \frac{a}{n+1})} - 1 \right) = -\frac{1 + (-1)^a}{2}.$$

Hence if  $j = k$ , then

$$\langle \phi_j, \phi_k \rangle = \frac{n + \frac{1+(-1)^{2j}}{2}}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$$

and if  $j \neq k$ , then

$$\langle \phi_j, \phi_k \rangle = \frac{-\frac{1+(-1)^{j-k}}{2} + \frac{1+(-1)^{j+k}}{2}}{2} = 0.$$

This means that if we let  $\psi_j = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \phi_j$ , then  $\psi_j$  form an orthonormal basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus by rotational invariance we may write

$$W(k) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \psi_j(k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} A_j \sin(\pi \frac{kj}{n+1}),$$

1

where  $A_j$  are i.i.d.  $N(0, 1)$  random variables. □

### Exercise 3.

Let us start by noting that

$$n = \mathbb{E}\Gamma(n)^2 = n^2 c_n^2$$

since  $\phi_i(n) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Hence we must have  $c_n = 1/\sqrt{n}$  and

$$\Gamma(j) = \frac{j}{\sqrt{n}} Z_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i Z_i \phi_i(j).$$

Let  $C := (\min(i, j))_{i, j=1}^{n-1}$  be the covariance matrix of  $(\Gamma(1), \Gamma(2), \dots, \Gamma(n))$ . Then

$$\sum_{j, k=1}^n \min(j, k) \phi_i(j) \phi_i(k) = \langle \phi_i, C \phi_i \rangle = \mathbb{E}\langle \Gamma, \phi_i \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{n} \langle \psi, \phi_i \rangle^2 + c_i^2 \langle \phi_i, \phi_i \rangle^2,$$

where  $\psi(j) = j$ . Thus we get

$$c_i^2 \|\phi_i\|^4 = \sum_{j, k=1}^n (\min(j, k) - \frac{jk}{n}) \phi_i(j) \phi_i(k).$$

Let us next look at the matrix  $D := (\min(j, k) - \frac{jk}{n})_{j, k=1}^{n-1}$ . We will show that  $\phi_i$  are eigenvectors of  $D$ . This is easier to check for the inverse matrix of  $D$ , which turns out to be the following tridiagonal matrix:

$$D^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To see this, note that

$$(DD^{-1})_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} D_{i,k} D_{k,j}^{-1} = \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} (\min(i, k) - \frac{ik}{n}) D_{k,j}^{-1}$$

with the definition of  $D_{k,j}^{-1}$  extended to the cases  $k = 0$  or  $k = n$  by the same rule that  $D_{k,j}^{-1}$  is  $-1$  if  $|k - j| = 1$ ,  $2$  if  $k = j$  and  $0$  otherwise. Note that this does not change anything since  $\min(i, k) - \frac{ik}{n} = 0$  if  $k \in \{0, n\}$ . Thus we get

$$(DD^{-1})_{ij} = -(\min(i, j-1) - \frac{i(j-1)}{n}) + 2(\min(i, j) - \frac{ij}{n}) - (\min(i, j+1) - \frac{i(j+1)}{n}).$$

If  $i < j$ , then we have

$$(DD^{-1})_{ij} = -(i - \frac{i(j-1)}{n}) + 2(i - \frac{ij}{n}) - (i - \frac{i(j+1)}{n}) = 0,$$

and the same for  $i > j$  since the matrix is symmetric. On the other hand if  $i = j$ , then we get

$$(DD^{-1})_{ii} = -(i-1 - \frac{i(i-1)}{n}) + 2(i - \frac{ii}{n}) - (i - \frac{i(i+1)}{n}) = 1,$$

and hence we see that  $DD^{-1}$  is indeed the identity.

Let us next compute  $(D^{-1}\phi_i)(j)$ . This is simply

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} D_{j,k}^{-1}\phi_i(k) = -\phi_i(j-1) + 2\phi_i(j) - \phi_i(j+1),$$

which holds true also in the case  $j \in \{1, n-1\}$  since  $\phi_i(0) = \phi_i(n) = 0$ . By standard trigonometric formulas we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\phi_i(j-1) + 2\phi_i(j) - \phi_i(j+1) &= 2\sin\left(\frac{\pi i j}{n}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\pi i(j-1)}{n}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\pi i(j+1)}{n}\right) \\ &= 2\sin\left(\frac{\pi i j}{n}\right) - 2\sin\left(\frac{\pi i j}{n}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\pi i}{n}\right) \\ &= 4\sin\left(\frac{\pi i}{2n}\right)^2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi i j}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\phi_i$  is an eigenvector of  $D^{-1}$  with eigenvalue  $4\sin\left(\frac{\pi i}{2n}\right)^2$ , which means that it is also an eigenvector of  $D$  with eigenvalue  $4^{-1}\sin\left(\frac{\pi i}{2n}\right)^{-2}$ .

Returning to our main computation we thus have

$$c_i^2 \|\varphi_i\|^4 = \langle \varphi_i, D\varphi_i \rangle = \frac{1}{4\sin\left(\frac{\pi i}{2n}\right)^2} \|\varphi_i\|^2,$$

so that

$$c_i = \frac{1}{2\sin\left(\frac{\pi i}{2n}\right)\|\varphi_i\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}\sin\left(\frac{\pi i}{2n}\right)},$$

since we know from the previous exercise that  $\|\varphi_i\|^2 = \frac{n}{2}$ . Hence we must have

$$\Gamma(j) = \frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}Z_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{Z_i}{\sqrt{2n}\sin\left(\frac{\pi i}{2n}\right)}\phi_i(j),$$

and it remains to check that  $\Gamma$  so defined actually has the right covariance  $\mathbb{E}\Gamma(j)\Gamma(k) = \min(j, k)$ . But we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\Gamma(j)\Gamma(k) &= \frac{jk}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2n\sin\left(\frac{\pi i}{2n}\right)^2} \phi_i(j)\phi_i(k) = \frac{jk}{n} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (D\phi_i)(j)\phi_i(k) \\ &= \frac{jk}{n} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} D_{j,\ell}\phi_i(\ell)\phi_i(k) = \frac{jk}{n} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} D_{j,\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_\ell(i)\phi_k(i) \\ &= \frac{jk}{n} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} D_{j,\ell} \|\phi_k\|^2 \delta_{\ell,k} = \frac{jk}{n} + D_{j,k} = \min(j, k), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $\phi_i(j) = \phi_j(i)$  for all  $i, j$  and also the fact (shown in the previous exercise) that  $\phi_j$  and  $\phi_k$  are orthogonal if  $j \neq k$ .

*Remark:* In fact the matrix  $D$  is the covariance matrix of a Gaussian random walk conditioned to hit 0 at time  $n$ . The decomposition in the exercise thus shows that the Gaussian random walk can be expressed as a sum of this conditioned process and a linear process  $jZ_n$  multiplied by  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  in order to get the right variance at time  $n$ .  $\square$

#### Exercise 4.

Fix  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$  and write, for each  $h \in H$  and  $N \geq 1$ ,

$$S_N(h) := \sum_{k=1}^N \xi_k \langle e_k, h \rangle.$$

We first note that  $(S_N(h))_{N \geq 1}$  is Cauchy in  $L^2(\Omega)$ : for  $M > N$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[|S_M(h) - S_N(h)|^2] = \sum_{k=N+1}^M \langle e_k, h \rangle^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (N \rightarrow \infty),$$

since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle e_k, h \rangle^2 = \|h\|^2 < \infty$ . Thus the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k \langle e_k, h \rangle$  converges in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , and we set  $\langle X, h \rangle := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N(h)$ .

Now compute covariances by passing to the  $L^2$ -limit of the partial sums. For any indices  $i, j$  and any  $N, M \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[S_N(h_i)S_M(h_j)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(N,M)} \langle e_k, h_i \rangle \langle e_k, h_j \rangle,$$

because  $\mathbb{E}[\xi_k \xi_\ell] = \delta_{k\ell}$ . Letting  $N, M \rightarrow \infty$  and using  $L^2$ -convergence yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle X, h_i \rangle] = \mathbb{E}[\langle X, h_j \rangle] = 0,$$

and that

$$\text{Cov}(\langle X, h_i \rangle, \langle X, h_j \rangle) = \mathbb{E}[\langle X, h_i \rangle \langle X, h_j \rangle] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle e_k, h_i \rangle \langle e_k, h_j \rangle = \langle h_i, h_j \rangle,$$

which depends only on the vectors  $h_i, h_j$  and not on the chosen orthonormal basis.

This completes the proof. □